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Welcome to Fall Extended Practicum 2018
The practicum is an intense, busy term but it also is one of the most amazing 16 weeks of your education and your career.

It is a time where you will put into practice all you have learned in your courses and be further inducted into the teaching profession. You will work with a community of educators and students who will motivate you, encourage you, and challenge you to do your best.

It really is a remarkable experience, so please put your best energies for a successful, engaging and positive Extended Practicum experience.

This guidebook was developed to simply do that: it should guide you during your Extended Practicum by giving you the opportunity to develop your teacher identity while further developing your teacher competencies.

This document should provide you with the information you need to have a better understanding of the field experience requirements and addresses many of the most FAQ we have encountered within the Field Experience team.

It is meant to be used as a guide and has built-in flexibility not only to ensure that the requirements are met but also to address and distinguish the various developmental needs and experiences of our Teacher Candidates (T.Cs).

Please also refer to the website for more information as certain areas could not be fully covered in this guidebook.

As always, please do not hesitate to contact the Field Experiences Personnel if you ever have any questions.

We are thankful for all the teachers, schools, and school divisions in their continued support and partnership with our Teacher Candidates.

College of Education Field Website Link
When any mention is made to the College of Education – Field Website (CoE – Field Website), please go to the following link: http://www.education.usask.ca/fieldexperiences and look under the section referred to.
EXPR 422 Requirements Summary

General Requirements

• **16 week practicum:** Fall 2018 : August 23rd – December 14th (Teacher candidate orientation date is the start date)

• Teacher Candidates start in the schools the day the teachers start and follow their calendar throughout the Extended Practicum.

• **Last week of school before winter/Christmas holidays is not a requirement.** Although many students are able to stay, this last week is not included as part of their 16 week experience.

• **Professional Growth Portfolio.** Teacher Candidates are required to use the PGP during their Extended Practicum. They will submit a completed version to the College at the end of Extended Practicum (see PGP section for more details).

• **Prep Time: Before full time teaching,** Teacher Candidates should be provided with at least 45 minutes and up to 60 minutes of prep time per day. This would ideally include one period a day and lunch period is not considered a prep.

• **Prep Time: During Full time teaching,** the teacher candidate has the same prep time as the partner teacher as she/he takes over teaching duties. If the teacher has an hour prep a day or 45 minutes every 2 days, then the teacher candidate should be provided with similar prep time. What happens if the teacher candidate is working with two schedules/two teachers? During full time teaching, the teacher candidate should follow the general prep schedule of the school. (If working with two teachers then full time teaching should not eliminate all preps. Arrangements should be made to ensure that the teacher candidate has the prep time on par with the LINC agreement re: prep time)

***Please note that due to elementary and secondary schedules and the various LINC agreements across the province, the prep time will vary among Teacher Candidates.

Teaching Requirements

• Full time teaching is at least 5 weeks and if necessary can be longer.

• T.C’s are responsible for assessing students’ progress of every lesson and subject they teach. They are expected to complete the term’s progress reports and be in attendance for 3 way/parent-teacher conferences and any other teacher required events (ex. Meet the Teacher night).

• Elementary program T.C’s must teach a variety of subjects to meet certification and secondary program T.C’s must teach their major and minor. For secondary, there is not a required number of hours but if the partner teacher teaches only one of the subject areas,
then arrangements should be made for the T.C to teach in the other subject (typically this is a unit of study).

- **Lesson plans are a requirement and continue throughout the Extended Practicum and are included in the unit plans. The lesson plan format required is dependent upon the progress of the T.C at that time. A day planner does not substitute as a lesson plan during any time of Extended Practicum.**

- **T.C’s take over all the teaching duties of the partner teacher when teaching full time. If the partner teacher has a class that is not suitable for the T.C or is not a course (ex. career counselling period), then a suitable class must be substituted. Ex. if one period a day the partner teacher has an admin period, then the T.C would work with another teacher during that period. It would be considered part of the teaching duties and one of the classes during full time.**

**Timeline of Expectations**

Please see the CoE – Field Website – under Extended Practicum Section – General Practicum Information - Weekly Timeline and Developmental Timeline document for a timeline of expectations. This is a guide for teacher candidates and partner teachers to follow during the extended practicum. Also refer to Important Dates in the Appendix for deadlines.

**Professionalism Requirements**

- **Supervision of Teacher Candidates and gradual release**

  T.C’s should not be left alone with students. Sometimes, there is a gradual release of supervision with the T.C as part of the progress and development plan for the T.C. The teacher is responsible for the students in the classroom so if there is a time when supervision by proxy is appropriate, then there must be an agreed upon plan among the T.C, partner teacher, and school administration that is communicated to the facilitator. If part of the gradual release of supervision is to give the T.C an opportunity to be in the classroom without the teacher in the room, there must be a plan and purpose for this, and should not occur for long periods of time.

- **Extracurricular Opportunities**

  Extended Practicum is centered on developing the teacher competencies. Although participating in extra-curricular activities can be a component of the PGP, it is important for T.Cs to ensure a balance is maintained. The majority of time should be devoted to the courses T.Cs are teaching.

  - T.Cs must always be supervised when working with students and should not be placed in a position where they are the primary leader of extra-curricular activities. They also should never drive students to extra-curricular activities. **Please see CoE – Field Website: Section under Professionalism.**
Classroom Teaching Requirements
The Practicum is a sixteen-week intensive field study experience when T.C.s are expected to develop teacher competencies.

This process is progressive in that the T.C begins by teaching one lesson per day and building up to a mandatory minimum of five weeks of full time teaching in which the T.C assumes ALL the teaching responsibilities of the partner teacher (lesson planning and teaching, grading and reporting, communication with parents, etc.

The graphic below outlines the approximate progression of the T.C’s teaching responsibilities.

**For planning purposes: Week 1 = August 27th-August 31st….Week 16: Dec. 10th-Dec. 14th**

Please note:
- This schedule is meant to be flexible and should be adapted to meet the needs of the T.C and the classroom circumstances (units being taught, student needs, prep time, etc.).

**TIP** – At the beginning of the Extended Practicum process, map out a tentative calendar using this progression as a guide. This will help clarify expectations surrounding the T.C’s teaching responsibilities. Please see Important Dates for deadlines in the Appendix.
Planning – Lessons and Units

Lesson Planning
Throughout the practicum, the T.Cs will be developing their short-term and long-term planning abilities following the Understanding by Design (UBD) framework.

All T.Cs will begin by submitting Extensive formal lesson plans to their partner teacher(s) for feedback prior to teaching the lesson. As the T.Cs display their proficiency in the Extensive lesson plan, they will move to a Modified lesson plan that includes the same features of the Extensive lesson plan, only in a less detailed and less formal manner. Finally, the T.Cs will move to a Simple lesson plan that is informally written, but still must include the lesson’s curricular connections and a general description of the lesson. Please see the Appendix for these Lesson Plan Exemplars.

When is it time to move on?
- Consistently displays effective timing in lessons.
- The lesson has a natural flow and there aren’t constant stumbles.
- Is able to teach the lesson without relying heavily on the written plan.
- Clearly demonstrates an understanding of the components of the lesson and is not forced.
- Components of the lesson are connected, accurate, and relevant.
- Feedback on components of lesson have indicated competency.
- The lesson does not go over or under time.

When is it time to move on?
- Is able to adapt to the student’s needs and adjust the lesson as it is being taught.
- T.C has taught a variety of lessons in modified format to help build a foundation.
- Less reliance on referring to lesson plan but uses it more as a guide.
- The areas that were modified are not weakened or omitted during the lesson.
- Clear indication that the lesson components are becoming internalized and the T.C is comfortable with the lesson.
Moving from one format to the other- Things to keep in mind

The T.Cs are at the beginning stages of building their teacher competencies. Therefore, skipping steps or moving ahead too soon will not help build the base they need. There should be a substantial amount of time invested in their growth before moving to modified and simple planning.

Can a T.C go to modified lessons but then have to go back to extensive? Yes, often times the T.C has displayed the skills to move to a modified lesson but when that transition happens, it may become more evident that it was offered too soon. The same can happen in the transition from modified to a simple lesson plan as well.

When creating units, the lessons plans in the unit will be the format for which stage they are at during this time.

*NOTE: The timeline for this progression will be based on the T.C’s developmental needs and will be left to the discretion of the partner teacher and T.C (as well as the college facilitator, if necessary).

1.1.1 Lesson Plan - Template and Exemplar

Please see CoE – Field Website: Section under Tools and Resources.

You will find lesson planning, unit planning, and teacher resources – pre-conference starters, lesson observation template, post-conference prompts, reflection conversation starters, and incorporating FNMI content.

For each lesson, the teacher candidate should include 2-3 Teacher Education, Certification and Classification (TECC) competencies that they will be targeting for that lesson. See CoE – Field Website: Section under Professional Growth Portfolio. You will find the purposes of the Professional Growth Portfolio (PGP), how to use it, TECC Goals and the PGP Excel Spreadsheet.

Please see the Appendix for the Lesson Observation template
**Unit Planning**

Throughout the practicum, Teacher Candidates will most likely prepare approx. 7-10 original or substantially adapted formal unit plans of at least 10 lessons each. Units should be made for every class they are teaching continuously. The lesson plans in the units can be an outline and then further expanded while they are teaching the unit.

**Three of these unit plans are to be submitted to the college facilitator** and must be created using the *Understanding by Design Model* (with the hopes that all their units are created in this format).

**Please note that all units and lessons plans should be organized and stored so that they can be presented to the college upon request.**

Also, please keep in mind that the Teacher Candidates are beginning teachers and in order to develop their skills they need a strong foundation of planning which includes the lessons within their unit. They cannot at this stage of teaching rely on day planners as they have not internalized enough of the process of planning and implementation.

Unit 1 and 2 - Feedback on these required units is to be provided by partner teachers/college facilitators before implementation and must be provided to the partner teacher/facilitator at least one week in advance of the planned implementation date.

- The first unit should be co-generated with the partner teacher and the second and third units should be generated individually, after consultation with the partner teacher as to the unit focus.

- At least one of these units must infuse First Nations, Métis, Inuit content and perspectives. Please see section on Tools and Resources – Incorporating FNMI on the CoE – Field Website.

Unit 3 is submitted to the college facilitator for our records only and feedback will not be provided.

**What could a co-generated unit look like?**

- T.C creates the unit with guidance and feedback from the partner teacher.
- The T.C adapts one of the partner teacher’s existing unit plans.
- The T.C and partner teacher work collaboratively to create the unit.
- The T.C works collaboratively with other teacher candidates to create the unit, with the partner teacher providing guidance and feedback.

All units should be directly connected to Saskatchewan curricula (addressing Broad Areas of Learning, Cross-curricular Competencies, outcomes/indicators) and include specifically identified outcomes/indicators, identified knowledge and skills, assessment & evaluation plan, and a detailed learning plan.

**Dates to submit unit plans to the facilitator are provided on the page of Important Dates in the Appendix.**
1.1.2 Understanding by Design – Template and Exemplar

See CoE – Field Website: Section under Tools and Resources - Unit Planning.

UBD requirements. The template provided on our website is the general UBD template. Some divisions have modified it to their needs and Teacher Candidates can use that one, as long as it follows the Understanding by Design model.
Professional Growth Portfolio (PGP)

Throughout the T.Cs program in the College of Education, University of Saskatchewan, he/she is working towards achieving professional competencies as indicated in the program goals. The teacher candidate is responsible for using the Professional Growth Portfolio as a tool for gathering evidence of his/her progress toward achieving TECC program goals and outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 demonstrates the ability to maintain respectful, mutually supportive and equitable professional relationships with learners, colleagues, families and communities;</td>
<td>2.1 demonstrates knowledge of how the Canadian colonial context, especially in reference to Saskatchewan and Western Canada, impacts teaching and learning for Indigenous and non-Indigenous peoples;</td>
<td>3.1 demonstrates the ability to use educational research, inquiry, and data for planning, instructional and assessment purposes;</td>
<td>4.1 demonstrates knowledge of Saskatchewan curriculum and policy documents and applies this understanding to plan lessons, units of study and year plans using curriculum outcomes as outlined by the Saskatchewan Ministry of Education;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 demonstrates ethical behaviour and the ability to work in a collaborative manner for the good of all learners;</td>
<td>2.2 demonstrates knowledge of First Nations, Métis &amp; Inuit culture and history, (e.g. treaties, residential school, scrip and worldview) and their impacts on contemporary experiences and relationships;</td>
<td>3.2 demonstrates the ability to utilize meaningful, equitable and holistic approaches to assessment and evaluation;</td>
<td>4.2 demonstrates the ability to incorporate First Nations, Métis, and Inuit knowledge, content and perspectives into all teaching areas;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 demonstrates a commitment to social justice and the capacity to nurture an inclusive, equitable and culturally responsive environment for the empowerment of all learners;</td>
<td>2.3 demonstrates knowledge of a number of subjects taught in Saskatchewan schools (disciplinary/interdisciplinary knowledge);</td>
<td>3.3 demonstrates the ability to use a wide variety of responsive instructional strategies and methodologies to accommodate learning styles of individual learners and support their growth as social, intellectual, physical and spiritual beings.</td>
<td>4.3 demonstrates the capacity to engage in program planning to shape ‘lived curriculum’ that brings learner needs, subject matter, and contextual variables together in developmentally appropriate, culturally responsive and meaningful ways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 demonstrates a commitment to service and the capacity to be reflective, lifelong learners and inquirers.</td>
<td>2.4 demonstrates an understanding of the organizational and legal contexts of schooling;</td>
<td>2.5 demonstrates proficiency in the language of instruction;</td>
<td>2.6 demonstrates ability to use technologies readily, strategically and appropriately;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 demonstrates ability to strive for/pursue new knowledge.</td>
<td>2.8 demonstrates ability to use educational research, inquiry, and data for planning, instructional and assessment purposes;</td>
<td>3.2 demonstrates the ability to utilize meaningful, equitable and holistic approaches to assessment and evaluation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 demonstrates the ability to use a wide variety of responsive instructional strategies and methodologies to accommodate learning styles of individual learners and support their growth as social, intellectual, physical and spiritual beings.</td>
<td>4.1 demonstrates knowledge of Saskatchewan curriculum and policy documents and applies this understanding to plan lessons, units of study and year plans using curriculum outcomes as outlined by the Saskatchewan Ministry of Education;</td>
<td>4.2 demonstrates the ability to incorporate First Nations, Métis, and Inuit knowledge, content and perspectives into all teaching areas;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised: August 10, 2018
The Professional Growth Guide/Portfolio is similar in scope and purpose to individual professional planning guides and portfolios widely used by teachers. It is designed to:

- Focus thinking on the connections between theory and professional practice
- Focus on students’ learning as well as on theorizing about the teacher candidate’s own teaching practice
- Identify strategies for working towards professional goals and teaching competence
- Identify criteria for measuring progress
- Develop professional interactions and discussions among colleagues including other teacher candidates, partner teachers, course instructors, Extended Practicum facilitators
- Share responsibility for leadership
- Increase professional knowledge, involvement, and develop ownership of the teacher candidate’s learning and growth

**PGP DURING EXPR 422**

The PGP is the foundation of the teacher candidate’s planning, teaching and assessment. Throughout their practicum, teacher candidates will be using these PGP competencies to drive their instruction, plan next steps as well as document their evidence and analysis of their teaching.

With this in mind, the Teacher Candidates will be required to update their PGP regularly as they will need to build on what is done. The PGP also is used for their practicum assessment. The evidence used in the PGP will help create the midterm and final assessment. In addition, the Teacher Candidates are required to complete the PGP by the end of Extended Practicum.

Throughout their practicum, Teacher Candidates will be working towards building competency in the TECC goals set by the Ministry of Education.

**PGP Focus and Entry Requirements**

The Teacher Candidates will use these TECC Goals as their personal learning targets in their lessons, observations, professional development, conversations with other teachers, etc. Teacher Candidates should be regularly recording evidence of meeting these targets in their Professional Growth Portfolio. There will be some choice as to what form this portfolio will take, but the teacher candidate’s daily entries MUST include:

- The appropriate TECC target
- The date and context in which the target was met
- Specific evidence they have met the target
- Critical reflection and significance to the teacher candidate’s professional growth
- The teacher candidate’s next steps and plans for further growth in that area

The professional growth portfolio will then be used as the basis for the Mid-Term and Final Assessments as well as the September progress report.
Although all TECC competencies may be addressed in the teacher candidate’s lesson plans at any time, the Professional Growth Portfolio should be approached in a **scaffolded** way.

The Teacher Candidates will begin by focusing solely on the development of their **Professional Competencies (PGP 1)** for the **first two weeks**. During **Week 3**, the Teacher Candidates will begin to focus on establishing their **Knowledge Competencies (PGP 2)**. During the **first in-service**, the teacher candidate and partner teacher will be given the opportunity to reflect upon the competencies and establish goals for further growth in those areas.

The teacher candidates will submit the PGP to the college facilitator at determined intervals throughout the extended practicum:

- At both in-services
- During both formal observations
- With unit plan #3
- With the final assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TC Targets</th>
<th>Evidence of Targets Met</th>
<th>Evidence of TC’s Reflection &amp; Growth</th>
<th>Assessment of TC’s Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC Targets</td>
<td>Evidence of Targets Met</td>
<td>Evidence of TC’s Reflection &amp; Growth</td>
<td>Assessment of TC’s Performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Competencies (PGP 1)</th>
<th>Knowledge Competencies (PGP 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
<td>Lessons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Growth Portfolio (PGP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Progress Report (Sept)</th>
<th>Mid-term Assessment</th>
<th>Final Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TC Targets</th>
<th>Evidence of Targets Met</th>
<th>Evidence of TC’s Reflection &amp; Growth</th>
<th>Assessment of TC’s Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC Targets</td>
<td>Evidence of Targets Met</td>
<td>Evidence of TC’s Reflection &amp; Growth</td>
<td>Assessment of TC’s Performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Competencies (PGP 1)</th>
<th>Knowledge Competencies (PGP 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
<td>Lessons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Growth Portfolio (PGP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Progress Report (Sept)</th>
<th>Mid-term Assessment</th>
<th>Final Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Extra-curricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How many PGP competencies should Teacher Candidates have per lesson?
- Depending upon the lesson and area of focus to observe, each lesson can have 1-3 PGP goals.

How many entries are required for each PGP competencies for the portfolio to be complete by the end of the extended practicum?
Since this PGP is a continuation from the year prior, Teacher Candidates will have some entries in the portfolio that will need further growth.

However, each teacher candidate is required to have at least 2 new entries per PGP competencies completed during his/her Extended Practicum.

The Teacher Candidates do not have to input in their portfolio ALL the evidence and the reflection they have done during their Extended Practicum but can choose which ones they want to enter to highlight and focus their efforts during this time.

PGP format options

Option #1

eportfolio.usask.ca
Teacher Candidates can use the eportfolio that is an online version. This will enable them to keep everything in one place from last year (if they used it) but also allow them to update everything on an online software program.

If choosing this option, then Teacher Candidates must share your eportfolio with the facilitator and teacher.

Option #2

Digital/Hard copy version.

For the PGP, please see the CoE – Field Website – under Section Professional Growth Portfolio-PGP Excel Spreadsheet.
This allows Teacher Candidates to easily sort entries, track which ones still needing improvement and gives a better visual of the Teacher Candidates’ entries and growth.

This version allows Teacher Candidates to also print out the PGP and complete it by hand which may be easier for those who anticipate issues. Teacher Candidates can also keep complete their PGP as an electronic spreadsheet, but Teacher Candidates must ensure it is saved after every entry or convert to an online cloud.
Teacher Candidates must also be able to share the PGP with their teacher and facilitator and have it downloaded as an electronic version to hand it at the end of Extended Practicum.

See the Appendix for Suggested PGP Focus Areas.
Assessment of the Teacher Candidate
Assessment of the Teacher Candidate

Templates for midterm and final assessments can be found under the section Extended Practicum – Assessment and Evaluation on the CoE – Field Website. Please feel free to contact the Facilitator if you would like some assistance with formatting, word choice or overall editing.

During the practicum, assessment and evaluation of professional growth will be continuous. Teacher Candidates are expected to continue collecting and analyzing evidence of professional growth using the Professional Growth Portfolio (PGP).

Evidence of their teacher competences include:

- Formal written feedback from partner teacher(s) (daily, if possible). Formal visits by Extended Practicum facilitator should be included as 'evidence' of professional growth in the PGP.
- Other materials to be gathered as evidence include lesson plans, self-evaluations, peer feedback, anecdotal notes and can include photos, video clips and examples of work collected from students. *When using student work, names must be blocked off and permission obtained. If photos are used without permission, faces may not be shown.

Evidence of progress toward achieving program goals and outcomes along with developing professional competencies will be regularly discussed with the partner teacher and during visits with the Extended Practicum facilitator. On a weekly or bi-weekly basis, Teacher Candidates are expected to identify and discuss with partner teachers one or more particular program goals/outcomes for focused attention. In collaboration, partner teachers and Teacher Candidates should identify some necessary action(s) to provide evidence of growth.

Because feedback and reflection are required for professional development, pre- and post-conferencing are very important. Jot notes from these professional conversations can be included in the PGP.

When possible, a cluster of Teacher Candidates in a school can provide feedback for one another during peer coaching opportunities. Jot notes or more formal written feedback from these opportunities can also be included as evidence in the PGP.
Assessment Reports

**When completing the teacher candidate assessment reports, the evidence contained in the teacher candidate's PGP should be used as the evidence of meeting the competencies.**

1.1.3 Using the PGP for Assessment

The teacher candidate should have his/her PGP available when meeting to complete the assessment. As the pair discuss each competency, the teacher candidate will provide the evidence from the PGP to start the discussion. This will serve as the basis of areas of strength and areas needing improvement. The evidence in the PGP should be used in the assessment reports but the teacher can also include other evidence/observations that are appropriate.

At the midpoint of the practicum, Teacher Candidates and partner teachers with the support of facilitators will write a formative 'mid-term' report evaluating the Teacher Candidate's progress towards program goals and outcomes using evidence, analysis and reflections collected in the PGP.

At the end of the practicum, a final evaluation is completed. The final evaluation report indicates that the teacher candidate has successfully completed the requirements of the intensive field experience. The final report is also completed by partner teachers and Teacher Candidates, in consultation with Extended Practicum facilitators. Please refer to the section: Process for collection of signatures and forwarding to facilitators on the next page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly proficient</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Not Yet</th>
<th>Not Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• the teacher candidate has exceeded expectations for a student teacher on a particular competency</td>
<td>• the teacher candidate has met expectations on a particular competency</td>
<td>• the teacher candidate has identified the competency as a PGP goal, and is working towards meeting the expectations for the competency</td>
<td>• the teacher candidate has not yet identified the competency as a PGP goal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The expectations for the Teacher Candidates are based on their proficiency of the competency of teacher candidates and not that of a veteran teacher. Therefore, it is understood that the teacher candidate may have demonstrated competencies that are highly proficient for a teacher candidate.

The practicum is also a process. As Teacher Candidates concentrate on PGP 1 and 2 the first 4 weeks of their practicum, Teacher Candidates will continue to make progress on these two competences.
throughout it and should not abandon them once they focus more on PGP 3 and 4. With this in mind, if a teacher candidate is assessed at the midterm with a HP or P, this does not mean that if he/she does the same things for the next 8 weeks, that score should remain. There should still be improvements even if the teacher candidate does receive a HP on the midterm for any of the competencies. Therefore, it is acceptable for the assessment levels to change from HP at midterm to P on the final assessment if growth has not occurred.

The teacher candidate should be able to demonstrate his/her proficiency of a competency on a consistent basis. For a student to demonstrate an HP, he/she needs to have gone above and beyond the expectations on a regular basis. There may be some slight variations from one level to another but the assessment should be based on the overall performance.

Please see Appendix - Distinguishing the levels of the Assessment rubrics for an example of the different levels of demonstrating proficiency in the competencies.

Process for collection of signatures and forwarding to facilitators

The College of Education is moving towards getting all documents in electronic format. Therefore we ask the partner teacher to fill in the final evaluation online - template for final assessments can be found under the section Extended Practicum – Assessment and Evaluation on the CoE – Field Website. The teacher candidate will then fill in their part under the section teacher candidate’s self-assessment. The partner teacher will print two copies of the final evaluation. The Teacher Candidate will then be responsible for getting both copies signed by all parties (principal, partner teacher and teacher candidate) and forward both copies to the facilitator for final signing. The teacher candidate should then receive a copy of their final report with all signatures present. The facilitator would then keep the other original copy with all signatures present. The facilitator should then arrange to have all the teacher candidates’ evaluations scanned within the school facility itself. Each TC’s evaluation should be scanned into a separate PDF file, verified that all pages (both sides if need be) have been scanned properly and that they are in a proper format. The file naming convention used should conform to the following standard:

Extended_Practicum_422_MMMYYYY_Lastname_Firstname_StudentNumber

These verified scanned evaluations should then be sent via email to the field office admin staff at education.fieldoffice@usask.ca along with a checklist of students under each facilitator. The facilitator will then bring back the original evaluation reports complete with all signatures to the field office for a backup until the scans are verified.

Again, the Official Final Evaluation MUST be signed by the partner teacher(s), the teacher candidate, the school principal and the facilitator.

This final evaluation report is an official document on which the teacher candidate relies as documentation of his/her teaching experience.
Release time (a half day for writing the mid-term report and another half-day for writing the final report) is provided for Partner Teachers and Teacher Candidates. Partner teachers are responsible for selecting the half day and for ensuring completion of the final report in a timely fashion for collection by the Extended Practicum facilitator during the final days of the Extended Practicum.
OBSERVATIONS AND FEEDBACK
Professional Conversations and the Observation Cycle

Professional conversations and “teacher talk” are essential aspects of the Teacher Candidates’ learning experience; all Teacher Candidates and partner teachers, therefore, will be expected to make time throughout the day for these conversations to occur. The *Supervision Cycle Process* should occur daily, and consists on the ongoing cycle of teacher candidate performance, teacher feedback, critical reflection and incorporation of feedback:

Please see section Tools and Resources – Teacher Resources on the CoE – Field Website to aid in this process for the following documentation:

- Pre-Conference Conversation Starters
- Observation Templates
- Reflection Questions
- Post-Conference Prompts
Providing feedback and scaffolding successful planning and teaching

Feedback is one of the key building blocks of becoming a successful teacher. The partner teacher has the opportunity to be part of the teacher candidate’s success through modelling important teaching strategies/behaviors/techniques, as well as giving feedback on the teacher candidate’s progress.

Effective Feedback (source: Tomlinson & McTighe, 2006)
To be effective, feedback must be.....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timely:</th>
<th>Specific:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the greater the delay between the performance and the feedback, the less likely the feedback will help the student improve</td>
<td>grade scores are not considered feedback because they are not sufficiently specific to help a learner improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- positive comments such as &quot;Well done&quot;, are not specific enough to help a student advance learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Understandable: | the student must clearly understand what they have done well and what they can do differently next time to improve (rubrics that have been jointly developed with students, as well as models and exemplars can help students to better understand precisely how to improve work); and allowing for adjustment: the student needs opportunities to use the feedback in a similar context to make improvements |

Professionalism

The Teacher candidate - Partner Teacher relationship falls under the purview of the Saskatchewan Teachers Federation standards of teacher professionalism, and as such all parties involved must adhere to the Code of Professional Ethics at all times. In addition, Teacher Candidates must always adhere to the Professionalism guidelines set forth by the College of Education.

Because they are not yet certified by the province and for the protection of everyone involved, TEACHER CANDIDATES MUST NEVER BE IN THE CARE OF STUDENTS WITHOUT DIRECT SUPERVISION. This includes within the classroom, coaching and other extra-curricular activities. University of Saskatchewan College of Education Field Experiences Learning Policy 6.5.11 states:

The teacher candidate is not to be used as:
- a certified teacher;
- a substitute teacher;
- a coach, except as an assistant under the supervision of a certified teacher;
- the primary supervisor of playgrounds, halls, dances, games, etc. Teacher Candidates should be expected only to assist with these duties; or
- a driver for the purpose of transporting students
Attendance and Absenteeism

Part of being committed to the practicum and the teaching profession is adhering to the College’s absenteeism policy. As professionals, it is expected that Teacher Candidates keep absences to an absolute minimum and adhere to the policies of the school division in instances of absenteeism during the field experience (ex. bereavement days).

However, because Teacher Candidates are responsible to the College of Education, they must report **ALL absences regardless of the reason to the Facilitator**. In the case of illness, facilitators and partner teachers may ask the teacher candidate to provide a note from his/her physician.

Absences of 3 or more days- There will be a formal discussion with the partner teacher and the facilitator after an absence lasting 3 days or more, or frequent short term-absences. Habitual absences may lead to the facilitator and/or partner teacher initiating the calibration/contract process.

**See Section under Extended Practicum – If Difficulties Arise – on the CoE – Field Website.**

Orientation and In-Services

- The extended practicum process begins with a mandatory Orientation session (held in mid-August),
- In addition, there will be two day-long in-services (the dates for these will be set by the college facilitators and will vary). Attendance for these events is a requirement.
- In-service #1 is held in September (3rd week typically) for **Partner Teachers and Teacher Candidates** and is hosted by the facilitator. Substitute costs are covered in the Ministry grants to the School Divisions. Please see your division HR for booking the substitute and the substitute code, as this varies by division.

Bates Award

**See CoE – Field Website: Section under Extended Practicum – The Bates Award**

AES (Access and Equity Services) Accommodation

**See CoE – Field Website: Section under Professionalism – AES Accomodation Plan**
When Difficulties Occur

A. The role of the teacher candidate/partner teacher/facilitator:

Partner teachers and Extended Practicum facilitators must systematically provide support for a teacher candidate experiencing difficulty. When the regular cycle of action-feedback-action is not successful, it is extremely important to be candid and frank about the difficulties and to work out the situation. It is important to specifically identify any particular difficulties the teacher candidate may be experiencing within these areas as soon as they arise, so that these problems can be collaboratively addressed as soon as possible.

When the teacher candidate experiences difficulties that are not being remedied through daily feedback conversations involving 'coaching', 'collaborating' or 'consulting', the partner teacher and/or Extended Practicum facilitator should initiate the next level in the Learning-Focused Supervision model, which is 'calibrating'. In this provision of formal support the partner teacher and the teacher candidate, with help from the Extended Practicum facilitator, complete the document titled **Calibrating Learning-Focused Supervision** and implement the suggested solutions. This calibration serves as a support mechanism for the student and collaborating teacher. It also serves
as documentation for future requests of improvement. It is very important that each collaborating teacher begin requests for improvement with this mechanism.

If the situation does not improve within a short time frame, a Contract for Improvement should be developed specifying the reasons for concern. These particular expectations for improvement should be supported and provided by the partner teacher and by the Extended Practicum facilitator. The Contract for Improvement must be signed by the teacher candidate, partner teacher and the Extended Practicum facilitator.

If the Contract does not catalyze an improvement, then withdrawal from Extended Practicum may occur.

B. Contexts in which difficulties often occur:
   - Communication breakdown. Teacher candidate and/or Partner teacher has not communicated well which has resulted in a breakdown of requirements, expectations.
   - The teacher candidate and partner teacher have not set the groundwork for essential expectations around professionalism, attendance at staff meetings, pre/post conferencing times, timeline for completing corrections or assessments, etc., and tension has developed.
   - The teacher candidate struggles with efficiency in planning lessons/units and falls behind in having lessons prepared in time for the partner teacher to peruse and give feedback on before teaching.
   - The teacher candidate plans engaging activities and assignments but neglects to factor in the time commitment for correcting and assessing those activities/assignments. Corrections pile up.
   - Attendance and/or lateness becomes an issue.

If tension arises because of these types of situations, please contact the College Facilitator so that a possible teacher candidate/partner teacher/facilitator meeting can be set up to address the concerns.

See CoE – Field Website: Section under Extended Practicum – If Difficulties Arise.
**Closing**

The EXPR 422 Guidebook covers the main requirements and components of the 16-week practicum. This book was developed while keeping in mind the diversity of the classrooms where the Teacher Candidates are placed as well as the various learning styles and skills of all the T.Cs.

The relationships the teacher candidate will form with the partner teacher and facilitator is essential for success. We encourage you to be open with your communication and always be upfront with any concerns or questions you may have during these 16 weeks. Your partner teacher(s) and facilitator come with a wealth of knowledge, skills, and experience to support you in developing your teacher competencies and to have a successful Extended Practicum.

As always, please do not hesitate to contact the Field Experience team on campus if you have any questions.

Greg McJannet  
Field Experiences Coordinator  
306-966-7633  
greg.mcjannet@usask.ca

Marlene McKay  
Field Experiences Coordinator  
306-966-5675  
marlene.mckay@usask.ca

Kathy Pryor-Hildebrandt  
Field Experiences Coordinator / Learning Communities Coordinator  
306-966-7667  
kathy.pryor-hildebrandt@usask.ca

Karen Chizek  
Field Experiences Administrative Support  
306-966-7736  
karen.chizek@usask.ca  
education.fieldoffice@usask.ca
Lesson Plan – How Do We Infer?
Date: September 30, 2015
Subject: English Language Arts  
Grade:  4
Topic: Reader’s Workshop – Inferring  
Essential Question (from unit, if applicable):

Materials:
- “The Arrival” by Shaun Tan, as well as print offs of pictures for students
- white board, document camera, projector
- writing utensils
- inferring recording sheets

Stage 1- Desired Results – you may use student friendly language
What do they need to understand, know, and/or able to do?
In this lesson, students will gain a stronger understanding of not only what inferring is and how to use it, but gain a confidence on the connections they can make between what they already know in their head and what connections they can make with the pictures they see. By using these clues, students will be able to show examples of their inferences through key words, sentences and pictures. This is a reading and writing skill that will be expanded upon in future reading and writing lessons.

Broad Areas of Learning:
Students in this lesson will be provided the starting point of learning a learning/reading/writing strategy that they will continually draw upon not only in school but in their lives outside of school as well. Students will also learn about the world around them in this activity, for the book they are examining is about the excitement, fears of, and social issues around immigration (a topic they will also learn more about in social studies this year). By using the strategy of inferring, students will become more engage citizens, because they will use the skill of using clues and knowledge that they already have to become connected to situations they encounter, whether they are reading or writing about them, or encounter a social situation where they must make inferences.

Cross-Curricular Competencies:
In this lesson, students will learn how to make connections to (and how to express those connections) multiple literacies. Students will develop skills, strategies, and knowledge related to various literacies in order to explore and interpret the world and communicate meaning. Students will come to understand and value the knowledge they already have, as well as understand and value knowledge that others have (such as authors, people who are in the situations they are making inferences about, etc.), and the power they have when they connect those different sources of knowledge.

Outcome(s):
CR4.1 Comprehend and respond to a variety of grade-level texts (including contemporary and traditional visual, oral, written, and multimedia texts) that address:  • identity  • community  • social responsibility and support response with evidence from text and from own experiences.
  b. View, listen to, and read a variety of texts related to theme or topic of study and show comprehension by:
    • retelling and explaining the ideas and information presented in texts
  c. Connect the insights of an individual or individuals in texts to personal experiences.

PGP Goals:
2.2 proficiency in the Language of Instruction
3.1 the ability to utilize meaningful, equitable, and holistic approaches to assessment and evaluation
3.2 the ability to use a wide variety of responsive instructional strategies and methodologies to accommodate learning styles of individual learners and support their growth as social, intellectual, physical and spiritual beings

Stage 2- Assessment
**Assessment FOR Learning (formative)** Assess the students during the learning to help determine next steps.
- Students will be informally assessed on their ability to make direct connections to the series of pictures they are given (their strength of inferences). Students will also be informally assessed on if their inferences are reasonable and realistic.
- Students will also be informally assessed on the way they choose to represent their inferences (through straight writing, combination of writing and pictures, pictures, and who needs their information to be scribbled).
- Students will receive individual comments, as well as general group comments on how we as a class (or individuals in the individual comments) can improve our ability to inference. When these comments are being made, it will be emphasized that we are all just learning this skill, and this is a skill that we will never stop using, so it is important to take all feedback as an opportunity to grow and learn more.

**Assessment OF Learning (summative)** Assess the students after learning to evaluate what they have learned.
- This is the first lesson in a series of lessons that will introduce and teach the concept of inferences, so students will not be formally assessed. They have not been given a chance to practice or apply this skill with great strength after just one lesson. After a series of around 4 or 5 lessons, students will be assessed formally in grade form, as well as written feedback.

**Stage 3- Procedures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivational/Anticipatory Set (introducing topic while engaging the students) (*15 Minutes):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Students will be asked to recall what they know about the word “inferring” (it was introduced the day before). The goal of this group conversation is for students to recall (or understand) that inferring is a reading and writing skill that involves us using clues from the pictures we see, in combination with information/ clues from our heads, to make educated guesses as to what story the picture is trying to tell. When all students seem to be on this track, we will move ahead. (3-5 Minutes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Procedures/Strategies (*25-35 Minutes):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*(Students will learn how to inference through multiple types of instructional methods. This lesson is focused on trying to stick to the 20/80% teaching theory. The 20% teacher talk will be done when talking about what inferring is after they discuss it as a large group, as well as when I am demonstrating how to fill in the inferring recording sheets. The 80% student talk will take place when we are taking items out of the backpack and inferring, making inferences when examining the pictures, as well as when discussing what inferring means at the beginning of class. Students will be instructed as a large class, but for students who struggle with the concept a little bit more will receive one on one instruction and help to understand what the concept it, how we show it, as well as what our job is for this lesson.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Students will then be asked to draw their attention to the video camera projected on the board, where I will have a print off of a series of pictures from the book “The Arrival”. I will also have the inferring recording sheet. I will explain to the students that their job is to work individually or in groups of two (that I will choose) on making as many inferences as possible about a series of pictures given to them. The fact that these pictures from this story have no words on them will be pointed out to them, emphasizing the fact that it is up to them to use the clues from their brain and the clues from the pictures to make inferences on what’s happening in the story. I will demonstrate via video camera, showing my thought process out loud, as well as recording in sentences, pictures, and a combination of words and pictures. Students will be asked to record their inferences in a way that makes the most sense to them (I will leave the choice up to them, not hinting towards one form of recording or another). I will leave my example on the video camera on the board so the students have something to reference if they are stuck. They will be told lastly to write or draw what they see in one textbox on their sheet, and record why they think that in the other box. *(5-10 Minutes)*

2. The students will then be given time to inferences (individually or in groups) based on their assigned pictures following the process that was modeled for them. As the students are working, I will be conferring with each student and/or group to gauge their level of understanding and provided guidance and support. *(20-25 Minutes)*

**Adaptations/Differentiations:**
- Adaptations that will be made for this class will be show in the series of pictures certain students receive. Students who have a bigger struggle in understanding and demonstrating their connections will receive the series of pictures that allow for...
more straight forward connections so they can get a stronger sense of success. On the contrary, students who are at a higher academic level will be given series of pictures that need more complex and unique connections to challenge them.

-Another adaptation that will be made is giving students the options on how to record their inferences. All students are given the option to record their inferences in different forms, such as in sentences, in pictures, or word and picture combinations.

-Students who record their inferences in pictures but are unable to record their thoughts on paper will have a teacher scribe for them and their picture inferences.

**Closing of lesson (~10 Minutes):**

- In closing, students will be asked to share some of their inferences, and what they recorded on their sheets. Students who participate less in class usually will be called upon to demonstrate that everyone is capable of making inferences.

- Once the sharing is done, students will be asked to share the importance of inferring (teaches us to use multiple clues around us to deepen our understanding of a book or picture, increase our ability to read between the lines, as well as how it deepens our ability to read and write in greater detail).

- Students will also be congratulated on their hard work of the day, and will be told that this is a skill we will work on next day!

**Personal Reflection:**

I feel that I tried to pack too much into this lesson. Some students struggled at each step, resulting in more time spent explaining and a rushed work time. If I were to do this lesson again, I would extend it over two days and allow/encourage the students to go into more depth with their inferring. I feel this would help the students develop a deeper understanding of the concept. Conferring with the students while they were working helped me determine who understood and who needed extra help. The adaptations I made definitely helped the lesson go more smoothly. Overall, I am happy with the level of student engagement in this activity.

*Adapted from Understanding by Design (McTighe and Wiggins, 1998)
Appendix B – Modified Lesson Plan Exemplar

Lesson Plan – How Do We Infer?
Date: September 30, 2015
Subject: English Language Arts
Grade: 4
Topic: Reader’s Workshop – Inferring

Essential Question (from unit, if applicable):

Materials:
- “The Arrival” by Shaun Tan, as well as print offs of pictures for students
- white board, document camera, projector
- writing utensils
- inferring recording sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1 - Desired Results – you may use student friendly language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome(s):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR4.1 Comprehend and respond to a variety of grade-level texts (including contemporary and traditional visual, oral, written, and multimedia texts) that address: • identity • community • social responsibility and support response with evidence from text and from own experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. View, listen to, and read a variety of texts related to theme or topic of study and show comprehension by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• retelling and explaining the ideas and information presented in texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Connect the insights of an individual or individuals in texts to personal experiences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PGP Goals:
2.2 proficiency in the Language of Instruction
3.1 the ability to utilize meaningful, equitable, and holistic approaches to assessment and evaluation
3.2 the ability to use a wide variety of responsive instructional strategies and methodologies to accommodate learning styles of individual learners and support their growth as social, intellectual, physical and spiritual beings

Stage 2- Assessment

Assessment FOR Learning (formative) Assess the students during the learning to help determine next steps.
- Making connections and representing inferences (through writing, pictures, talking, etc.). The students will receive feedback as they work.

Assessment OF Learning (summative) Assess the students after learning to evaluate what they have learned.
- Formative assessment will occur later in the unit.

Stage 3- Procedures:
Motivational/Anticipatory Set (introducing topic while engaging the students) (~15 Minutes):
- Review purposes and procedures of inferring while reading. Provide examples for students. (3-5 Minutes)
- Using “I think”, or “I bet”, or “maybe” students, have the students make inferences about the backpack items. Have them use these inferences to determine information about the owner of the backpack. (10 Minutes)

Main Procedures/Strategies (~25-35 Minutes):
3. Using the document camera and a picture from the book “The Arrival,” as well as the think-aloud strategy, model the inferring process for the students. Record inferences in the chart using words, symbols and pictures so that the students can see what is expected of them. (5-10 Minutes)
4. The students will then be given time to make inferences (individually or in groups) based on their assigned pictures following the process that was modeled for them. As the students are working, confer with each student and/or group to gauge their level of understanding and provided guidance and support. (20-25 Minutes)

Adaptations/Differentiations:
- Assign developmentally appropriate pictures to students who struggle and those who need a challenge.
- Let the students choose how to record their inferences (writing, orally, pictures, symbols, etc.)
- Scribe for students who are unable to record their thinking.

Closing of lesson (~10 Minutes):
- Ask students to share some of their inferences, and what they recorded on their sheets.
- Review the importance of inferring as a reading and thinking strategy.

Personal Reflection:
I feel that I tried to pack too much into this lesson. Some students struggled at each step, resulting in more time spent explaining and a rushed work time. If I were to do this lesson again, I would extend it over two days and allow/encourage the students to go into more depth with their inferring. I feel this would help the students develop a deeper understanding of the concept. Conferring with the students while they were working helped me determine who understood and who needed extra help. The adaptations I made definitely helped the lesson go more smoothly. Overall, I am happy with the level of student engagement in this activity.

*Adapted from Understanding by Design (McTighe and Wiggins, 1998)
Appendix C – Simple Lesson Plan Exemplar

Lesson Plan – How Do We Infer?
Date: September 30, 2015
Subject: English Language Arts
Grade: 4
Topic: Reader’s Workshop – Inferring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1 - Desired Results – you may use student friendly language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome(s):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PGP Goals:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2, 3.1, 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 2 - Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment FOR Learning (formative)</strong> Assess the students during the learning to help determine next steps.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Informal feedback and support as they work on inferring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment OF Learning (summative)</strong> Assess the students after learning to evaluate what they have learned.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Formative assessment will occur later in the unit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 3 - Procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motivational/Anticipatory Set (introducing topic while engaging the students):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Review inferring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Make inferences about backpack items and the owner of the backpack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Procedures/Strategies:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Model inferring activity using picture from “The Arrival.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Independent work time to practice inferring. Confer with the students as they work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adaptations/Differentiations:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Adjust the difficulty of the pictures for those who need it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Let the students choose how to record their inferences (writing, orally, pictures, symbols, etc.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closing of lesson:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Share and review.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Personal Reflection:
I feel that I tried to pack too much into this lesson. Some students struggled at each step, resulting in more time spent explaining and a rushed work time. If I were to do this lesson again, I would extend it over two days and allow/encourage the students to go into more depth with their inferring. I feel this would help the students develop a deeper understanding of the concept. Conferring with the students while they were working helped me determine who understood and who needed extra help. The adaptations I made definitely helped the lesson go more smoothly. Overall, I am happy with the level of student engagement in this activity.

*Adapted from Understanding by Design (McTighe and Wiggins, 1998*)
Appendix D - Distinguishing the Levels of the Assessment Rubrics.


**PGP #1 - The ability to maintain respectful, mutually supportive and equitable professional relationships with learners, colleagues, families and communities.**

**Midterm Evaluation:**

**Highly Proficient - HP**

- welcome letters to parents the 1st day, called parents the first week to discuss best way to communicate.
- Home visits the first week and also organized a community event.
- Teacher candidate made a point of meeting with all the staff to introduce herself and made plans to visit each classroom to learn from the other teachers
- has always included students in the learning process: classroom routines, handles off-task behaviour with kindness and respect, culturally aware of the student’s backgrounds and has adapted her teaching to fit their needs.

**Final Evaluation:**

**Highly Proficient - HP**

- Has continued to demonstrate HP – has gone above and beyond expectations.
- Has continued with including students in the learning process by developing in-class individual conferencing for each student to discuss their progress on a bi-weekly basis. This has improved engagement by 30% and their progress on average by 20% She has modelled goal setting with the students and they now have their own goal setting.
- Lead a PD for the staff on the peer conferencing and its success.
- changed an entire unit when two new students – Syrian Refugees- arrived and wanted to not only ensure of culturally sensitivity but wanted to include them by giving them opportunity to share their experiences.
- teacher candidate spent a half day for two weeks (following her full time teaching) with the resource room teacher, to expand her knowledge of the ways that differentiation may be applied. She had the opportunity to work with individual students and small groups, both in the resource room and embedded within classrooms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Proficient - P</strong></th>
<th><strong>Proficient - P</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has greeted families at the door upon my encouragement, at the beginning of the class, the teacher candidate writes out the classroom agenda so that students can anticipate and be prepared.</td>
<td>Has continued to greet families at door but has now also felt more comfortable with parents and engaging with them more at pick-up and encouraging them to view the unit binders at the boot rack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-is kind and respectful with the students and considers their backgrounds and contacts parents if student is struggling.</td>
<td>Has continued to demonstrate kindness and respect with the students but has not been consistent with parent contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Has been friendly with staff and family but needs to work on engaging with staff more and collaborating at committee meetings.</td>
<td>-is well-liked by staff and has contributed at staff meetings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Not Yet - NY</strong></th>
<th><strong>Not Yet - NY</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has done very little or at all – does not engage with staff, family, and minimal with students.</td>
<td>Despite encouragement and discussions, teacher candidate still did not demonstrate proficiency in this competency. He/she would not be able to meet at the door to greet students on a regular basis, Too busy organizing day. Did not attend parent events, and did not contact parents as needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction with staff was basically at staff meetings.</td>
<td>Did not have a good understanding of the needs of the students and struggled with supporting them without major support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Not Present - NP</strong></th>
<th><strong>Not Present - NP</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>teacher candidate limits interactions to partner teacher, students, and in-school staff</td>
<td>Minimal effort to interact with anyone beyond partner teacher. Does not seek out engagements as much with students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E– Suggested PGP Focus Areas

Goal 1: demonstrate professional (personal) competencies including:

1.1 the ability to maintain respectful, mutually supportive and equitable professional relationships with learners, colleagues, families and communities

This may include:
- Using appropriate language: awareness of slang, stereotypical terms
- Setting appropriate boundaries: digital footprint, social media
- Demonstrating awareness of how different personality traits, learning styles and teaching styles may affect relationships
- Listening to the partner teacher’s suggestions and can restate them in “I” statements
- Speaking professionally of partner teacher, parents or students in the staffroom, on-line, etc.
- Understanding and displaying appropriate boundaries with students (avoids becoming a “buddy”)

1.2 ethical behavior and the ability to work in a collaborative manner for the good of all learners:

This may include:
- Planning with partner teacher before beginning a lesson or topic
- Discussing appropriate communication methods with the partner teacher: when are parents contacted, how are they contacted, how is the student involved in the process
- Displaying self-control emotionally; personal behavior is acceptable for classroom and school
- Respecting the privacy of students, parents and teacher peers
- Adhering to STF code of ethics

1.3 a commitment to social justice and the capacity to nurture an inclusive and equitable environment for the empowerment of all learners:

This may include:
- Demonstrating awareness of and eliminating stereotyping in instruction, including language, gender, sexuality, perceived disability, and race.
- Distributing questions in an equitable manner
- Avoiding power struggles with students
- Creating groups fairly and equitably
- Encouraging student language to be respectful of diversity and gender
- Encouraging a positive environment where mistakes are allowed and judgment is limited
1.4 a commitment to service and the capacity to be reflective, lifelong learners and inquirers:

This may include:
- Consistent use of the PGP
- Reflecting on own upbringing and how that could affect perceptions and judgments of other people/students
- Discussing what would encourage them to delve deeper into a topic or question
- Reflecting upon possible influences or factors that contribute to student behavior

Goal 2: demonstrate knowledge competencies including:

2.1 knowledge of Canadian History, especially in reference to Saskatchewan and Western Canada;

This may include:
- Incorporating and honouring the students’ communities and cultural heritage.
- Demonstrating understanding of Canadian and Prairie historical events and narratives.
- Celebrating important historical and cultural events (i.e. Ukrainian Christmas, Terry Fox Run, Chinese New Year, Remembrance Day, Treaty Day, etc.).
- Examining historical causes of contemporary issues and trends.
- Using historical contexts within subject-area concepts (i.e. discussing the Great Depression in Math, the history of hockey or lacrosse in Phys. Ed., or the development of resource industries in Science).
- Reflected in lessons by using accurate, relevant, current information and not outdated sources.
- Being able to address student’s questions about the content.

2.2 proficiency in the Language of Instruction;

This may include:
- Communicating with students, staff and parents in a clear, effective, and professional manner.
- Lessons should be void of grammatical and spelling errors, including on board, written documents and other materials provided to students and colleagues.
- Read aloud should have the correct pronunciation, tone and flow.
- Using appropriate language: awareness of slang, stereotypical terms
- Modelling respectful and developmentally appropriate language usage.
- Participating in “teacher talk” and using the terminology of the profession.
- Using subject-area terminology in lessons and professional conversations.
- Finding and incorporating English as an Additional Language resources.
- Incorporating literacy instruction across subject areas.
2.3 knowledge of First Nations, Metis and Inuit Culture and History (e.g., Treaties, Residential School, Scrip, and Worldview);

This may include:
- Demonstrating an understanding and respect of First Nations, Metis, and Inuit worldview, culture and ways of knowing in everyday teaching and relationships with students and staff.
- Modelling respectful cultural interactions among communities.
- Incorporating elements of indigenous cultures in teaching (i.e. storytelling, medicine wheel, sharing circles, connection to the land, family and community, etc.).
- Attending Professional Development focused on the integration of FNMI content and perspectives.
- Consulting and incorporating Treaty Kit resources.
- Awareness of FNMI resources (i.e. Office of the Treaty Commissioner, ministry and school division resources, etc.)

2.4 ability to use technologies readily, strategically and appropriately

This may include:
- Incorporating available technology in lessons (i.e. computers, iPads, cell-phones, Smartboard, etc.).
- Modelling appropriate and professional digital communication.
- Encouraging and modelling digital awareness.
- Demonstrating knowledge of a variety of technological learning/communication resources (i.e. Remind101, Edmodo, Prezi, Google Docs, etc.)
- Including digital forms of assessment (i.e. creating blogs, videos, websites, etc.)
- Provide opportunities for students to use a variety of mediums and other technology.

2.5 knowledge of a number of subjects taught in Saskatchewan schools (disciplinary/interdisciplinary knowledge)

This may include:
- Plan lessons/units while being mindful of the content and outcomes in other courses.
- Making cross-curricular connections within lessons/units.
- Promoting and developing literacy across subject areas.
- Making connections to what the students are learning/have learned in other subjects and grades.

2.6 ability to strive for/pursue new knowledge

This may include:
- Attending professional learning opportunities (i.e. professional development, professional learning communities, conferences, etc.)
- Observing/learning from a variety of teaching partners and attempts to implement into your teaching
- Professional reading
- Focusing on learning and growth

**Goal 3: demonstrate instructional competencies including:**

3.1 Demonstrates the ability to utilize meaningful, equitable and holistic approaches to assessment and evaluation

This may include:
- Planning assessment to measure the learning outcomes
- Co-creating assessment tools with students (i.e.: rubrics, checklists, criterion-based formative and summative assessment)
- Clearly outlining essential understandings and essential questions at the beginning of the lesson/unit so that the students had a roadmap of what they would need to know by the end of the lesson/unit
- Designing formative assessment so that future lessons would match student ability and interest
- Focusing on student success and respect for different learning styles in the design and intent of the assessment/evaluation
- Demonstrating cultural responsiveness in the appropriate use of terminology and language
- Providing choices and transparency in all assessments
- Providing effective feedback on their learning and support a plan for success.
- Seek out new supports

3.2 Demonstrates the ability to use a wide variety of responsive instructional strategies and methodologies to accommodate learning styles of individual learners and support their growth as social, intellectual, physical and spiritual beings

This may include:
- Model workshop approach, inquiry-based, various group work, group discussions, portfolios, performances of understandings, teacher and student led.
- Provide consistency with strategies so students develop skills and provide them with various learning opportunities.

**Goal 4: demonstrate curricular competencies including:**

4.1 Knowledge of Saskatchewan curriculum and policy documents and applies this understanding to plan lessons, units of study and year plans using curriculum outcomes as outlined by the Saskatchewan Ministry of Education;

This may include:
- Lessons are aligned to the course curriculum while incorporating the Broad Areas of Learning and the Cross-Curricular Competencies
- Unit plans and lessons are relevant and incorporate the appropriate outcomes/indicators
- Modifications are made to meet the needs of students.

4.2 the ability to incorporate First Nations, Metis, and Inuit knowledge, content and perspective into all teaching areas

This may include:
- Making learning connections to family, community and environment
- Teaching and learning in a holistic manner that honours the students mental, spiritual, emotional and physical aspects of self
- Incorporating Treaty resources in the classroom
- Demonstrating respect for Indigenous culture, history and perspectives
- Experiential learning through art, song, story, symbols, ceremony, etc.
- Place-based learning, learning about and through the land and community
- Honouring and incorporating the students’ lives and experiences in the learning

4.3 the capacity to engage in program planning to shape ‘lived curriculum’ that brings learner needs, subject matter, and contextual variables together in developmentally appropriate, culturally responsive and meaningful ways

This may include:
- Student voice- students provide input into lessons and offer feedback.
- Differentiating instruction based on student needs and abilities
- Accounting for and respecting the students cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds when planning and teaching
- Making connections between theory and practice
- Making connections between the curriculum and the students’ lives and experiences
- Basing curricular decisions on what is best for the students and their learning
- Putting supports in place to ensure student success
## Appendix F – Lesson Observation Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Candidate</th>
<th>LESSON</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PGP Target</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of Target Met</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Conference Notes/Reflection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Feedback**

**Next Steps**
## Appendix G - IMPORTANT DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, August 20&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;th, 2018</td>
<td>Facilitator Orientation – College of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 23&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;-Dec. 14&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>16 week Extended Practicum dates (inclusive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 23&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;-28&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>EXPR 422 Orientation Location: Division Facility (date varies by division)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-September</td>
<td>Extended Practicum In-Service for T.C. AND Partner Teachers. Facilitator will inform of dates. (Teacher is responsible for following school division procedures for booking a sub.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Deadline for withdrawal with full refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 18&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Last day to withdrawn from EXPR 422 with 75% tuition credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 21&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Deadline to submit co-generated UBD unit to facilitator – prior to teaching it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 27th 2018</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from Fall Term 1 classes with 50% tuition credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-late October</td>
<td>In-service – Teacher Candidates only – Dates and location to be provided by Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of Oct. 15&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; – 19&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Midterm assessment completed this week. (It should not be completed prior to week 8).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 23&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Midterm assessment submitted to facilitator with all required signatures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 26&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Deadline to submit UBD #2 to facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 15, 2018</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from Fall Term 1 classes (Practicum) without Academic Penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of Nov. 19&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Deadline to submit 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; UBD unit to facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of Dec. 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;–Dec. 7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Complete Final Assessment – submit to facilitator for review. Please understand that time may be needed for revisions/additions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of Dec. 10&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; – 14&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Final assessment picked up by practicum facilitator (all signatures should be on all copies that the teacher candidate has made)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 14&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;, 2018</td>
<td>Last Day of Extended Practicum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>